IFTA CAC MEETING - 01/15/2009

Participants: Garry Hinkley, Chris Nelson, Gary Frohlick, Bill Kron, Lonette Turner, Pat Platt, Scott Miller, Debbie Meise

Debra Stuart, Randy Boone, Scott Greenawalt and Jason DeGraf did not participate.

Last Conference call - 12/16/2008

December Minutes - No changes were needed and all approved.

<u>Netting Funds Documents</u> – Garry H. said he thought the documents looked good with the changes that were made. Lonette will give the documents to the Board (at the board meeting) and then will have a period to allow comments. The Ballot FTB#1 closes next week and then we will know which calendar will be used. Currently, 40 jurisdictions have voted. 30 are in favor of the Ballot and 9 are against it. It will take 44 votes to pass and 15 to fail. 18 jurisdictions have yet to vote. Lonette will send out an email listing the 18 jurisdictions. There also will be a conference call with the Canadian jurisdictions at which time Gary F. will discuss the ballot.

<u>Funds Netting</u>- Funds Netting has been forwarded to the Board for approval. Funds Netting will begin next January. It was suggested that if anyone wants to make calls to the jurisdictions that have not voted on the Ballot, advise them that regardless of if the Ballot passes or fails, Funds Netting will happen 01/01/2010 and by passing the Ballot, it will allow for a better calendar.

Now it's just a matter of awaiting the outcome of the Board meeting and Ballot.

<u>Idaho Update</u> – No new emails have come from Idaho and there is nothing new to report. All participants believe that Jason is working with all the states to clean up errors but cannot be confirmed since Jason was not present. It is known that Idaho voted against the Ballot.

ITAC Report – ITAC call was cancelled this month.

 \underline{NLETS} – \underline{NLETS} is still working on the information sent to them and working on the user documents.

<u>Other Issues</u> – It was asked if any states use a functional CVIEW. It was known that Kansas does and Maine will within 4-5 months. NLETS is not yet pushing CVIEW. Garry H. suggested that this maybe a good survey topic for INLETS. Most Canadian jurisdictions do not have access to CVIEW and there is very little IFTA information out there. KS will send Garry H. information that he can look up and play with it for testing purposes.

Pat has an interesting truck/trailer situation that she has asked for help with. There is a 24,000 lb. truck with a 12,000 lb. trailer, totaling 36,000 lbs. Law enforcement has issued citations and also has told customer that they need to be in IFTA (IRP was not mentioned by law enforcement). Law enforcement has also given the customer a ticket for being over weight. Pat has gotten only a few responses about this matter.

Gary F. asked it anyone is currently uploading transmittal detail data to their jurisdictional accounting system. No one on the call is currently uploading the data. Maine is pulling summary information from the Clearinghouse and keying the information into an Access database. Identifying the month and year in the upload is a problem. Once Funds Netting starts, everyone will be on the same page and all data will be transmitted in the same fashion. Until Funds Netting begins, there will continue to be different date ranges for each jurisdiction.

<u>Next Meeting</u> – The next conference call is schedule to take place on 02/19/2009 at 11:00 AM EST.